

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,283	09/18/2003	Franky So	12406-161001 /P003,0944 U	12406-161001 /P003,0944 9067 U	
26181 7	7590 06/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. PO BOX 1022			THOMPSON, CAMIE S		
	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1774		
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	ς.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/666,283	SO ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Camie S. Thompson	1774
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timustilly apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Americal Structure</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-25</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 26-30</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or con Papers	n from consideration.	
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the confere	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/666,283 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed March 28, 2006 have been acknowledges.

- 2. The rejection of claims 1-6 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,815,505 is withdrawn due to applicant's argument.
- 3. The rejection of claims 7-9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,815,505 is withdrawn due to applicant's argument.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-6, 10 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hirose et al., U.S. Pre Grant Publication 2004/0081854.

Hirose discloses an organic electroluminescent element having a pair of electrodes including an anode and a cathode and at least one organic compound layer disposed therebetween (see paragraph 0045). The reference also discloses that the organic layer comprises at least one non-conjugated polymer wherein at least one of the terminal groups of the main chain has a fluorescent substance emitting fluorescence in the solid state (see paragraph 0046). The

Application/Control Number: 10/666,283 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

reference reads on the instant claims when at least one (which can include both terminal ends of the polymer main chain) has a fluorescent substance emitting fluorescence. Additionally, the reference reads on the instant claims when the terminal groups(s) can be a chelating metal complex (see reference claim 14). Also, the Hirose reference discloses that the non-conjugated polymer can have repeat units selected from polyester, polyurethane and polyether.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirose et al., U.S. Pre Grant Publication 2004/0081854.

Hirose discloses an organic electroluminescent element having a pair of electrodes including an anode and a cathode and at least one organic compound layer disposed therebetween (see paragraph 0045). The reference also discloses that the organic layer comprises at least one non-conjugated polymer wherein at least one of the terminal groups of the main chain has a fluorescent substance emitting fluorescence in the solid state (see paragraph 0046). The reference reads on the instant claims when at least one (which can include both terminal ends of the polymer main chain) has a fluorescent substance emitting fluorescence. Additionally, the reference reads on the instant claims when the terminal groups(s) can be a chelating metal complex (see reference claim 14). Also, the Hirose reference discloses that the non-conjugated

Application/Control Number: 10/666,283 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

polymer can have repeat units selected from polyester, polyurethane and polyether. The Hirose reference does not disclose the concentration of the two end groups. The amount of the end groups affects the facilitation of charge carriers. Discovery of optimum values of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art *in re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the concentration of the end groups less than the concentration of the first or second monomer in order to facilitate attaining a balance of holes and electrons.

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 and 26-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L Dye, can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A.U. 1774 6/12/4